

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/783,461

Applicant(s)

CHEN, LIBIN

Examiner

Sunil Singh

Art Unit

3673

All Participants:(1) Sunil Singh.(2) Peter B. Martine.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 16 June 2005**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

claim 8 spelling error; claim 10 line 4, "seating frame" is confusing.

Claims discussed:

8 and 10

Prior art documents discussed:

*none***Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Claim 8 to be amended to overcome incorrect spelling. Claim 10 to be amended to overcome 112 2nd rejection.***Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)